2i6 MOTHER-KIN AND MOTHER GODDESSES DOOKIII

family most probably explains the custom of brother and

sister marriage In Egypt¹

Thus the Union of Osiris with his sister Isis was not a

 $\label{eq:marrilgTof} \begin{array}{ll} marrilgTof \ ^{frcak \ of \ the} \ story\text{-teller's fancy}: it \ reflected \ a \\ social \ custom \end{array}$

Osiris" with which was Itself based on practical considerations of the

is?_ssister most solid kind. When we reflect that this practice of

reflected a rnother~kln as opposed to father-kin survived down to

cuslom¹¹¹ the latest times of antiquity, not In an obscure and bar-

barous tribe, but In a nation whose immemorial civilization

was its glory and the wonder of the world, we may

being extravagant suppose that a similar practice formerly

prevailed in Syria and Phrygia, and that It accounts for

the superiority of the goddess over the god In the divine

partnerships of Adonis and Astarte, of Attls and Cybclc.

But the ancient system both of society and of religion

had undergone far more change in these countries than

in Egypt, where to the last the main outlines of the old

structure could be traced In the national institutions to

which the Egyptians clung with a passionate, a fanatical

devotion. Mother-kin, the divinity of kings and queens, a

sense of the original connexion of the gods with

these things outlived the Persian, the Macedonian, the Roman

conquest, and only perished under the more powerful solvent

The of Christianity. But the old order did not vanish at once

oflhe 8 ' with the official establishment of the new religion.

old world age of Constantiiie the Greeks of Egypt still attributed the

 m $^{\rm Egypt^{\mbox{\tiny I}}}$ rise of the Nile to Serapis, the later form of Osiris, alleging

Cambridge, (Cuintion which Miss Rachel Evelyn Whitebridge, 1906), pp. 154 sq. uruler-
(Mrs. Wedd) gives of the Egyptian stand from Professor VV. M. Flinders
custom. See her paper, "Women in Petrie that the theory has been a
Ptolemaic 7L&\$^\.? Journal of Hellenic commonplace with JSgyptolo^i.sls for
Studies, xviii. (1898) p. 265. Similarly many years. McLennan explained the
Mr. J. Nietzold observes that ij economarriage of brothers and sisters in
mical considerations, especially in the royal families as an expedient for shift-
case of great landowners, may often have ing the succession from the female to
been the occasion of marriages with the male line; but he did not extend
sisters, the intention being in this way the theory so as to explain similar mar-
to avoid a division of the property" riages among common people in Egypl,
(Die Eke in Agypten, p. 13). The perhaps because he was not awjirc of
sa me explanation of the custom has the facts. See J, F. McLennan, 77/6'
been given by Prof. W. Ridgeway. Patriarchal Theory, edited and corn-
See his "Supplices of Aeschylus," in pler.ed by D. McLennan (London.
Praelections delivered before the Senate 1885), p. 95.